AGENDA ITEM or FINAL CONTRACT REVIEW SUBMITTAL FORM

Agenda Item: Ratification of Submittal; Colorado Department of

Action Requested: Motion

Parties to the Agreement:

Term Begins: **Term Ends:** **Grant Contract #:**

Summary:

On 4/7/15, the BOCC authorized the County Manager to submit the upcoming DOLA application for Compressed Natural Gas (CNG) vehicle purchases and shop upgrades. The actual application wasn't available at the time. The attached was created and submitted to DOLA before the recent

Fiscal Impact: $565,000

Submitted by: Katherine Haase **Submitter's Email Address:** khaase@gunnisoncounty.org

Finance Review: (●) Required ( ) Not Required

Comments:
Will add to 2016 budget if approved. No match required for grant dollars received if approved.

Reviewed by: GUNCOUNTY1\lNienhueser Discharge Date: 12/4/2015

County Attorney Review: (●) Required ( ) Not Required

Comments:
ok - gs

Reveiwed by: GUNCOUNTY1\gstuhr Discharge Date: 12/11/2015 Certificate of Insurance Required Yes ( ) No (●)

County Manager Review:

Comments:

Reveiwed by: GUNCOUNTY1\khaase Discharge Date: 12/11/2015

(●) Consent Agenda ( ) Regular Agenda ( ) Worksession Time Allotted:

Agenda Date: 12/15/2015

Revised April 2015

COLORADO
Department of Local Affairs
Division of Local Government

ENERGY AND MINERAL IMPACT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM APPLICATION
Tier I or Tier II

Applications Must Be Submitted Electronically - Directions on Last Page

-You are Highly Encouraged to Work with your Regional Field Manager when Completing your Application-

A. GENERAL AND SUMMARY INFORMATION

1. Name/Title of Proposed Project:	CNG vehicle purchase and shop upgrades

2. Applicant: Gunnison County

(In the case of a multi-jurisdictional application, name of the "lead" municipality, county, special district or other political subdivision).

In the case of a multi-jurisdictional application, provide the names of other directly participating political subdivisions:

3. Chief Elected Official (In the case of a multi-jurisdictional application, chief elected official of the "lead" political subdivision):

Name:	Paula Swenson	Title:	Commissioner
Mailing Address:	200 E. Virginia Ave.	Phone:	970-209-6920
City/Zip:	Gunnison 81230	Alt Phone	
E-Mail Address:	pswenson@gunnisoncounty.org		

4. Designated Contact Person (will receive all mailings) for the Application:

Name:	Marlene Crosby	Title:	Public Works Director
Mailing Address:	200 E. Virginia Ave	Phone:	970-641-7378
City/Zip:	Gunnison 81230	Alt Phone	
E-Mail Address:	mcrosby@gunnisoncounty.org		

5. Amount of Energy/Mineral Impact Funds requested:
(**Tier I;** Up to $200,000 or **Tier II;** Greater than $200,000 to $2,000,000)

$ 565,000

6. Description of the Project Scope of Work:
(Project Description of the various tasks involved in the project including specific data such as quantities, mileage, square feet, lineal ft. etc. as well as specific project location within city and or county etc.)

Gunnison County will be converting its fleet to CNG as vehicles come due for replacement and if they meet criteria for conversion including; able to fuel at CNG station in Gunnison, and commercially available CNG vehicle to replace gas/diesel vehicle that meets the County's needs. The County delayed new vehicle acquisitions in 2015 in anticipation of a CNG station opening in 2016, in 2016 vehicles delayed in 2015 plus vehicles eligible for replacement in 2016 will be purchased. Continuing in through 2019 the County will replace vehicles with CNG as they meet criteria and are due for replacement. The vehicle acquisition plan is attached. Also as an integral partner in the local CNG effort RTA will be replacing buses as they become eligible for replacement with CNG models. As a large fuel consumer RTA will benefit from stable fuel prices resulting in more dollars available to support transportation needs in the County.

7. Description: (Describe the problem, opportunity or challenge that resulted in the request.)

The State of Colorado is promoting the spread of CNG across the state. Gunnison is strategically placed on Hwy 50 for a CNG station. A station operator has received a grant to build a station in Gunnison with the support of local fleets including the County and RTA. CNG fueling will allow the County and RTA to lock in long term fuel price agreements which will result in lower fuel costs to the County and the ability to budget for future fuel purchases based on a long term fueling agreement with the CNG station operator.

8. Local priority if more than one application from the same local government (1 of 2, 2 of 2, etc.)___1 of 1_____.

9. Is the project on a State registered historic site? Yes(___) No(_x__).
If yes, please provide the registry number._____. The department **may** need to seek a determination of effect from the State Historic Society. For more on the Colorado State Register of Historic Properties, please **click here.**

B. DEMOGRAPHIC AND FINANCIAL INFORMATION.

1. Population

a. What was the 2010 population of the applicant jurisdiction? 15,309

b. What is the current population? 15,660

(Current/most recent conservation trust fund/lottery distribution estimate is acceptable.) What is the source of the estimate?

c. What is the population projection for the applicant in 5 years? 17,148

What is the source of the projection? Colorado State Demography Office

2. Financial Information (Current Year):

In the column below labeled "Applicant" provide the financial information for the municipality, county, school district or special district directly benefiting from the application. In the columns below labeled "Entity", provide the financial information for any public entities on whose behalf the application is being submitted (if applicable).

Complete items "a through k" for ALL project types:

	Lead Applicant	Co-applicant ___.	Co-applicant ____.
a. Assessed Valuation (AV) Year: Most Recent	611,210,660		
b. Total Mill Levy	15.644		
c. Property Tax Revenue Generated (mill levy x AV x 1,000)	9,561,780		
d. Sales Tax (Rate/Estimated Annual Revenue)	1% / $1,725,000	% / $	% / $
e. Total Budgeted Revenue (All Funds)*	40,815,205		
f. Total Budgeted Expenditures (All Funds)*	46,146,063		
g. Total Fund Balance (All Funds)*	17,560,136		
h. Total Outstanding Debt (All Funds)**	27,070,169		
i. General Fund Budgeted Revenue	12,941,706		
j. General Fund Budgeted Expenditure	14,493,524		
k. General Fund Balance as of December 31st of the previous year			
General Fund Balance	$5,655,654	$	$
Portion of General Fund which is Unassigned ^^ **(meets the definition identified in the GASB statement below)**	$3,212,879	$	$

* Sum of General Fund and all Special or Enterprise Funds

** Include the total outstanding liability from all multi-year debt obligations (lease purchase agreements, certificate of participation and any other debt instruments).

^^**Unassigned fund balance** - Amounts that are available for any purpose; these amounts are reported only in the general fund and have not been committed by resolution, ordinance or contract and have not been budgeted for an intended purpose.

(Click this link to locate GASB Fund Balance definitions)

For projects to be managed through a Special Fund other than the General Fund (e.g. County Road and Bridge Fund) or managed through an Enterprise Fund (e.g. water, sewer, county airport), complete items "k through o":			
Complete items "l through p" for ALL project types:			
Identify the relevant Special Fund or Enterprise Fund:	__RTA_Fund	_Sales Tax__Fund	__ISF-I_Fund
l. Special or Enterprise Fund Budgeted Revenue	$3,198,930	$1,745,800	$1,739,533
m. Special or Enterprise Fund Budgeted Expenditures	$4,244,270	$1,493,195	$2,504,442
n. Special or Enterprise Fund Outstanding Debt**	$0	$0	$0
o. Special Fund Mill Levy (if applicable)	$ N/A	$ N/A	$ N/A
p. Special or Enterprise Fund Balance as of December 31st of the previous year	$1,325,898	$1,297,047	$2,326,179

For Water and Sewer Project Only complete items "q through s":		
Complete items "q through s" for ALL project types:	Water	Sewer
q. Tap Fee	$	$
r. Average Monthly User Charge (Divide sum of annual (commercial and residential) revenues by 12 and then divide by the number of total taps served.) **NOTE: Commercial and Residential Combined**	$	$
s. Number of total Taps Served by Applicant		

** Include the total outstanding liability from all multi-year debt obligations (lease purchase agreements, certificate of participation and any other debt instruments).

C. PROJECT BUDGET. List expenditures and sources of revenue for the project. The totals on each side of the ledger must equal.

Expenditures		**Sources of Revenue** (Dollar for Dollar Cash Match is Required, unless financial circumstance warrants a reduction)			Funding Committed
List Budget Line Items (Examples: architect, engineering, construction, equipment items, etc.)		List the sources of matching funds and indicate either cash or documentable in-kind contribution. **Total revenue must equal total expenditures**			List Yes or No next to each line item
Line Item Expenditures	Line Item Costs		Cash	In-Kind	
County shop engineering/ consulting	**$5,000**	Energy/Mineral Impact Fund Grant Request	$ 344,000		No
County shop gas detection and safety equipment and controls	**$10,000**	*Energy/Mineral Impact Fund Loan Request (If applicable)	$		No
Vehicles and buses (see procurement list attached)	**$329,000**				
Contingency included in these figures					
TOTAL	344,000	**TOTAL**	344,000	$	
Please attach a more detailed budget if available					

(*If the request is for planning, engineering or design, the following two questions may not be applicable*)

1. Please identify the contingency associated with the project budget.
   a. Contingency Dollar value $_____
   b. Contingency % of Budget. 10___%
   c. If a contingency has not been identified as part of the budget, please explain why not?_____.
2. How recently was the budget and contingency determined for this project (month/year)?__November 2015_____.

D. PROJECT INFORMATION.

The statutory purpose of the Energy and Mineral Impact Assistance program is to provide financial assistance to "political subdivisions socially or economically impacted by the development, processing or energy conversion of minerals and mineral fuels."

1. Demonstration of Need:

a. Why is the project needed at this time?

Gunnison County is committed to using and promoting the use of CNG to our community. The County and RTA fleet conversion will help support a privately owned station for public use, allowing private fleets to convert to CNG. Increased use of CNG will reduce the County's carbon emissions and will put the County in the position of using a fuel which is produced in our area, it will also de-couple the County from swings in fuel prices associated with oil and allow for long-term budgeting based on long-term fuel price agreements for CNG

b. How does the implementation of this project address the need?

The County and RTA fleets are integral to support the financial feasibility of a privately owned CNG station in the first several years of the station operation while private fleets transition to CNG.

c. Does this project, as identified in this application, **completely** address the stated need? If not, please describe additional work or phases and the estimated time frame. Do you anticipate requesting Energy and Mineral Impact Assistance funds for future phases?

No, both Gunnison County and RTA will require about 5 years to replace fleet vehicles that are good candidates for CNG conversion. Vehicles will be replaced as they are eligible based on age of vehicle and use, planned budgeting is in place to support vehicle replacement at scheduled intervals. Both the County and RTA will require further assistance with the vehicle premiums to convert vehicles to CNG at the planned rate.

d. What other implementation options have been considered?

There are no other reasonable implementation options

e. What are the consequences if the project is not awarded funds?

Gunnison County and RTA will not be able to convert vehicles to CNG in enough quantity or fast enough to support the private station that is proposed, so it is likely that without these funds the station will not be built in Gunnison

2. Measurable Outcomes:

a. Describe measurable outcomes you expect to see when implementation of this project is complete. How will the project enhance the livability* of your region, county, city, town or community (e.g. constructing a new water plant will eliminate an unsafe drinking water system and provide safe and reliable drinking water; the construction of a new community center will provide expanded community services, or projects achieving goals regarding energy conservation, community heritage, economic development/diversification, traffic congestion, etc.)?

***(Livability means increasing the value and/or benefit in the areas that are commonly linked in community development such as jobs, housing, transportation, education, emergency mitigation, health and environment)**

This project will help support a local privately owned, publically accessible CNG station so that our community will have access to an alternative fuel source that fits their needs. It will also allow citizens of Gunnison Count to use a fuel for their vehicles which is extracted in the County already which is socially responsible and environmentally responsible, reducing carbon emissions compared with gas and diesel. Also by entering into long-term low cost fuel contracts the County and RTA will have more flexibility to use dollars to meet community needs including: public transportation initiatives by RTA, the County Public Works and Sheriff will have more stable fuel prices allowing them to commit more dollars to their core missions with confidence in that fuel costs won't disrupt their budgets.

b. How will the outcome of the project be measured to determine whether the anticipated benefits to this population actually occur?

The County will measure GGE of CNG used to estimate carbon emissions offsets.

c. Does this project preserve and protect a registered state historic building, facility or structure? If yes, please describe. Year of construction: _____

no

d. Will this project implement an energy efficiency/strategy that could result in less carbon footprint or conserve energy use or capitalize on renewable energy technology? If yes, please describe.

Yes, the use of CNG for vehicle fuel will emit less carbon into the atmosphere than gasoline or diesel.

e. Will the project be constructed with "Resiliency Framework", which is to build and construct with a plan to reduce risks by utilizing materials and constructing in areas to better withstand natural or man-made disasters, etc.? If yes, please describe.

no

3. Relationship to Community Goals

a. Is the project identified in the applicant's budget or a jurisdictionally approved plan (e.g. capital improvement plan, equipment replacement plan, comprehensive plan, utility plan, road maintenance and improvement plan or other local or

regional strategic management or planning document)? What is its ranking?

The project has been in the Board of County Commissioners' strategic plan for several years, funds for replacement vehicles have been planned according to the vehicle replacement schedule.

4. Local Commitment and Ability to Pay/Local Effort

a. Why can't this project be funded locally?

Paying for the entire cost of the vehicles locally would require the County and RTA to use a replacement schedule that takes into account the fuel savings cost to offset the premium cost of CNG vehicles. Given current gas and diesel prices it would take a longer time to offset the premium cost than the County or RTA plans on keeping the vehicles so the County would have to slow it's transition to CNG and potentially keep vehicles longer.

b. Has this project been deferred because of lack of local funding? If so, how long?

no

c. Explain the origin of your local cash match. (Note: Whenever possible, local government cash match on a dollar for dollar match basis is encouraged.) Are the local funds committed or pending? If there are pending funds, when will the status of those funds be determined?

d. What other community entities, organizations, or stakeholders recognize the value of this project and are collaborating with you to achieve increased livability of the community? Please describe how your partners are contributing to achieve the improvement to the livability of the community through this project. If in-kind contributions are included in the project budget, detailed tracking will be required on project monitoring report.

i. Please describe the level of commitment by each collaborator. (e.g. fee waivers, in-kind services, fundraising, direct monetary contribution, policy changes.)

ii. Please list the value of the resources that each collaborator is bringing to the program.

e. Has the applicant dedicated the financial resources in their current budget, reserve funds and/or unused debt capacity that are being used for the local matching funds? Explain if No

f. Have the applicant's tax rates, user charges or fees been reviewed recently to address funding for the proposed project?

g. If the tax rate, user charges or fees were modified, what was the modification and when did this change occur?

h. Has the applicant contacted representatives from local energy or mineral companies to discuss the project? If yes, when was the contact and what was discussed.

The County contacted Gunnison Energy, a local natural gas extraction company. Gunnison Energy indicated their strong support for the project and their commitment to assuring that the County receives the best commodity cost for natural gas possible. They offered to sell fuel directly to the station operator for County and RTA use and are currently working with utility providers to shore up contracts to sell their gas through local and regional pipeline infrastructure.

i. Has the applicant requested financial support from the industry? If yes, when was the contact, what amount did you request? What were the results? If no, why not?

5. Readiness to Go

a. Assuming this project is funded as requested, how soon will the project begin? **Select One** (x_)Within 3 months, (_)3-6 months, (_)6-9 months or (_)9-12 months? What is the time frame for completion? **Select One** (_)Within 3 months, (_)3-6 months, (_)6-9 months, (_)9-12 months or (_) >12 months.

b. Describe how you determined that the project can be completed within the proposed budget as outlined in this application?

We have researched available CNG vehicles and are ready to make purchases as soon as funding is secure. RTA has a diesel bus on order which could still be potentially changed to CNG if the change were requested in time during the build. RTA is scheduled to begin procurement of a second bus in 2016.

c. Has the necessary planning and design been completed? How? What additional design work remains? How did the applicant develop project cost estimates? Are any or permitting must still be completed, if any? When? How did the applicant develop project cost estimates? Is the project supported by bids, professional estimates or other credible information? Please attach a copy of any supporting documents.

For facilities upgrades the County consulted with an experienced engineer who visited our sits and offered a written summary of work to be done and cost opinions for completing the work to get facilities up to safety standards for CNG

vehicle maintenance.

i. What additional design work remains?

For facilities upgrades; formal design and equipment specifications.

ii. How did the applicant develop project cost estimates?

Research into costs of CNG vehicles vs. gas vehicles for light vehicles, RTA received bids for diesel and CNG vehicles during recent procurement process so we are using those premium cost for the RTA buses.

iii. Is the project supported by bids, professional estimates or other credible information? Please attach a copy of any supporting documents.

iv. Are any Local, State or Federal permits required before the project can proceed? If yes, please describe.

6. Energy & Mineral Relationship

a. Describe how the applicant is, has been, or will be impacted by the development, production, or conversion of energy and mineral resources.

Gunnison County's history has long been tied to the mining industry. The extraction of coal, gold, silver, uranium and secondary resources has been integral to the development of several of the County's communities. Gunnison County is home to two of Colorado's largest and most productive coal mines, Oxbow Mining LLC's Elk Creek Mine and Mountain Coal Company's West Elk Mine in Somerset, producing significant amounts of exported product, and significant tax revenues. Each mine produces approximately five-to-six million tons of high-quality bituminous coal annually. The Elk Creek Mine is one of the top five underground producing mines in the nation. Gunnison County has worked with Oxbow Mining and Mountain Coal to create a Special District under the Gunnison County Land Use Resolution to provide a smooth process for expansion of their respective mining operations.

In 2003, Gunnison County adopted the *Coal Resource Special Area Coal Mining Regulations*, to recognize the unique importance of coalmining within the Somerset area. Specifically the special regulations intend to simplify and expedite the permitting process for existing coal companies and avoid duplication with State and Federal permitting requirements while avoiding or mitigating potential impacts to the environment, public services and facilities, property and public safety that are not addressed by other State or Federal agencies. Gunnison County has consistently supported lease revisions, the Colorado Roadless Rule versus the Clinton Roadless Rule, and even a lowering of the royalty rates in support of the coal mines.

b. To further document the impact in the area, name the company or companies involved, the number of employees (click to get # of employees) associated with the activities impacting the jurisdiction and other relevant, quantitative indicators of energy/mineral impact.

SG Interests built a new 25-mile "Bull Mountain Pipeline," which impacts County roads and services. Costs to the County relative to staff time and compensation to Planning Commission members for permit review was also significant.

c. Cite actual use data that documents direct impact as it relates to the need for the project. For example, "heavy truck traffic directly related to energy development activities is impacting County Road X. a traffic count done in May 2015 showed energy related truck traffic increased from 100 trips per day to 300."

7. Management Capacity

a. How will you separate and track expenditures, maintain funds and reserves for the capital expenditures and improvements as described in this project?

We use a distinct group of general ledger accounts to segregate both revenues and expenditures specific to each grant received.

b. Describe the funding plan in place to address the new operating and maintenance expenses generated from the project?

c. Describe the technical and professional experience/expertise of the person(s) and/or professional firms responsible to manage this project.

The management team at Gunnison County has had relevant experience and successful results for tracking previous grant awards.

d. Does the project duplicate service capacity already established? Is the service inadequate? Has consolidation of services with another provider been considered?

E. HIGH PERFORMANCE CERTIFICATION (HPCP) PROGRAM COMPLIANCE.

Colorado Revised Statutes (C.R.S. 24-30-1305.5) require all new facilities, additions, and renovation projects that meet the following applicability criteria to conform with the High Performance Certification Program (HPCP) policy adopted by the Office of the State Architect (OSA) if:

- The project receives 25% or more of state funds; **and**
- The new facility, addition, or renovation project contains 5,000 or more building gross square feet; **and**
- The building includes an HVAC system; **and**
- In the case of a renovation project, the cost of the renovation exceeds 25% of the current value of the property**.**

The HPCP requires projects that meet the applicability criteria above to achieve third party verification with the target goal of LEED Gold or Green Globes-Three Globes. Projects are strongly encouraged to meet the Office of the State Architect's (OSA) Sustainable Priorities in addition to the LEED prerequisites. Projects funded through DOLA that meet the above applicability criteria are required to complete the DOLA registration and tracking process. See DOLA's HPCP web page for more information or contact your DOLA regional manager.

In instances where achievement of LEED Gold or Green Globe-Three Globes certification is not achievable, an applicant may request a modification of the HPCP policy or a waiver if certain conditions exist. DOLA staff will work with applicants to identify workable solutions to meet the program's intent to maximize building energy efficiencies.

Please answer the following questions:
(Complete this section only if your project application is for a building project, both new construction as well as renovation.)

1. Is the applicant seeking state funding for 25% or more of the total project cost (including all phases, if applicable)? Yes(__) No(__)
(If no, the project does not meet the HPCP requirement and the rest of this section does not need to be completed)

Does the building include an HVAC system? Yes(__) No(__)
If yes, please check whether the proposed project includes a ____ HVAC upgrade or ____ new HVAC system.

2. Is this project (check all that apply): ___new construction ___renovation ____new and renovation
New building square footage: _____SF Renovation square footage: _____SF
Is the building square footage (new construction and/or renovation) 5,000 SF or more? Yes(__) No(__)

3. For building renovation projects:
What is the current property value? (Determine based on assessed or appraised value) $_____
What is the total project cost for the renovations? $_____

Does the cost of renovation exceed 25% of the current value of the property? Yes(_) No(__)

4. If you answered "yes" to questions 1, 2, 3, and if applicable, 4, then your project meets the HPCP applicability criteria. Complete the HPCP registration form and preliminary checklist and submit with this grant application. (See DOLA's HPCP web page for registration and checklist form.)

ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS:

5. Have you included any additional costs in this grant application for third party verification to comply with the High Performance Certification Program? Yes(__) No(__) If yes, please specify the estimated cost for third participation verification/certification:$_____

6. Will you need assistance locating resources, third party consultants, or technical assistance for HPCP third party verification requirements, preparing cost estimates, or otherwise complying with the HPCP?
Yes(__) No(__) Explain _____

Note: If this application is for design services for a planned building project that meets the HPCP applicability criteria and the applicant intends to seek state funding for 25% or more of the total project cost, then the design should maximize high performance building certification standards (by completing the HPCP checklist) and build in anticipated project costs, as appropriate.

F. TABOR COMPLIANCE.

1. Does the applicant jurisdiction have voter authorization to receive and expend state grants without regard to TABOR spending limitations? Yes(_x_) No(__). If yes, explain:

Voter approved (see Attachment A).

2. If the applicant jurisdiction receives a grant with State Severance funds, will the local government exceed the TABOR limit and force a citizen property tax rebate? Yes(__) No(_x_). Explain.

3. Has the applicant jurisdiction been subject to any refund under TABOR or statutory tax limitations? Yes(_x_) No(__). Explain.

Gunnison County does a temporary tax credit on the annual mill levy certification.

4. Has the applicant sought voter approval to keep revenues above fiscal spending limits? Yes(_x_) No(__). Explain.

Attachment A

5. Are there any limitations to the voter approved revenues? (e.g., Can revenues only be spent on law enforcement or roads?) Yes(__) No(_x_). Explain.

6. If the applicant jurisdiction is classified as an enterprise under TABOR, will acceptance of a state grant affect this status? Yes(__) No(__). Explain.

N/A

G. ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW.

Indicate below whether any of the proposed project activities:

1. Will be undertaken in flood hazard areas. Yes(__) No(_x_).
List flood plain maps/studies reviewed in reaching this conclusion. Describe alternatives considered and mitigation proposed.

2. Will the project affect historical, archeological or cultural resources, or be undertaken in a geological hazard area. Yes(__) No(x_).
If yes, describe alternatives considered and mitigation proposed.

3. Address any other public health or safety related concerns? Describe. Yes(__) No(__).

APPLICATION SUBMISSION INSTRUCTIONS AND OFFICIAL BOARD ACTION DATE (REQUIRED)

Application and attachments must be submitted electronically in

WORD .DOC (Preferred) or .PDF Format (Unsecured) to:

ImpactGrants@state.co.us

Please Cc your DOLA Regional Manager all documents as well to ensure receipt.

In email subject line include: Applicant Local Government name and Tier for which you are applying
-example- **Subject:** Springfield County EIAF Grant Request, Tier 1

NOTE: Please do not submit a scanned application (scanned attachments ok).
(If you are unable to submit electronically please contact your DOLA Regional Manager)

For any questions related to the electronic submittal please call Denise Lindom @ 303.864.7732

Attachments List (Check and submit the following documents, if applicable):

- Preliminary Engineering Reports _____
- Architectural Drawings _____
- Cost Estimates _____
- Detailed Budget _____
- Map showing location of the project _____
- Attorney's TABOR decision _____
- HPCP Registration, modification Or Waiver Form _____

****

Official Board Action taken on

April 7, 2015

Date

Submission of this form indicates official action by the applicant's governing board authorizing application for these funds.

Attachment A

Reference F 1. and 4.
Pages 1 - 3, 1996 Election
Pages 4 -13, 2000 Election

Ballots continued from page 3

A OFFICIAL BALLOT

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS

1. To vote you must blacken the oval (⬭) completely to the left of your choice.
2. Use only a pencil. Make the mark clear and distinct.
3. If more than the allowable number of ovals are blackened, votes for that question or issue will not be counted.

   EXAMPLE: Vote for "ONE" means only one oval may be blackened.
4. DO NOT REMOVE BALLOT STUB.
5. If you make an error, return your ballot to the election judge.

AMENDMENT 15

"Measures referred by the general assembly or any political subdivision are listed by letter, and measures initiated by the people are listed numerically. A 'yes' vote on any measure is a vote in favor of changing constitutional or statutory law; and a 'no' vote on any measure is a vote against changing constitutional or statutory law."

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado Revised Statutes concerning campaign reform, and, in connection therewith, limiting the amount of campaign contributions to candidate committees, political committees, and political parties; prohibiting candidate committees and political parties from making or accepting certain contributions; specifying who may contribute to a candidate committee; limiting the amount of unexpended campaign contributions that a candidate committee can carry over from one campaign to another campaign; creating voluntary campaign spending limits and attendant disclosure requirements; and reenacting, with amendments, current campaign reform law definitions and provisions regarding deposits of contributions, limits on cash contributions and expenditures, the prohibition on contribution reimbursement, uses of unexpended contributions, notice and disclosure of independent expenditures, reporting of contributions and expenditures, registration requirements for candidates and committees, civil and criminal sanctions and penalties, expenditures for political advertising, encouraging withdrawal from a campaign, home rule counties and municipalities, and contribution limits on state and political subdivisions and on lobbyists?

⬭ YES
⬭ NO

AMENDMENT 16

"Measures referred by the general assembly or any political subdivision are listed by letter, and measures initiated by the people are listed numerically. A 'yes' vote on any measure is a vote in favor of changing constitutional or statutory law; and a 'no' vote on any measure is a vote against changing constitutional or statutory law."

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado Constitution concerning the management of state assets related to the public lands of the state held in trust, and, in connection therewith, providing that the board shall serve as the trustee for the lands granted to or held by the state in public trust; adding to the board's duties the prudent management and exchange of lands held by the board; requiring the board to manage lands held by the board in order to produce reasonable and consistent income over time, and to recognize that economic productivity and sound stewardship of such lands includes protecting

Continued. . .

B 1996 GENERAL ELECTION

Continued. . .

and enhancing the beauty, natural values, open space, and wildlife habitat thereof; providing for the establishment of a long-term stewardship trust of up to 300,000 acres of land; requiring the board to take other actions to protect the long-term productivity and sound stewardship of the lands held by the board, including incentives in agricultural leases which promote sound stewardship and sales or leases of conservation easements; authorizing the board to undertake non-simultaneous exchanges of land; authorizing the general assembly to adopt laws whereby the assets of the school fund may be used to assist public schools to provide necessary buildings, land, and equipment; providing opportunities for school districts in which lands held by the board are located to lease, purchase, or otherwise use such lands for school building sites; requiring the board, prior to a land transaction for development purposes, to determine that the income from the transaction will exceed the fiscal impact of the development on local school districts; allowing access by public schools for outdoor education purposes without charge; expanding the state board of land commissioners to five members and requiring a diversity of experience and occupation on the board; reducing the terms of office of the members of the board to four years; directing the board to hire a director and a staff; and providing for personal immunity of the individual board members from liability in certain situations?

⬭ YES
⬭ NO

AMENDMENT 17

"Measures referred by the general assembly or any political subdivision are listed by letter, and measures initiated by the people are listed numerically. A 'yes' vote on any measure is a vote in favor of changing constitutional or statutory law; and a 'no' vote on any measure is a vote against changing constitutional or statutory law."

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado Constitution concerning parental rights, and, in connection therewith, specifying that parents have the right to direct and control the upbringing, education, values, and discipline of their children?

⬭ YES
⬭ NO

AMENDMENT 18

"Measures referred by the general assembly or any political subdivision are listed by letter, and measures initiated by the people are listed numerically. A 'yes' vote on any measure is a vote in favor of changing constitutional or statutory law; and a 'no' vote on any measure is a vote against changing constitutional or statutory law."

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado Constitution to permit limited gaming, subject to a future local vote, in original or reconstructed historic buildings in the national historic district of the city of Trinidad and to allocate tax and fee revenues from such limited gaming?

⬭ YES
⬭ NO

REFERENDUM A

"Measures referred by the general assembly or any political subdivision are listed by letter, and measures initiated by the people are listed numerically. A 'yes' vote on any measure is a vote in favor of changing constitutional or statutory law; and a 'no' vote on any measure is a vote against changing constitutional or statutory law."

"An amendment to articles V and XIX of the constitution of the state of Colorado, concerning ballot measures, and, in connection therewith, requiring voter approval of proposed constitutional amendments by sixty percent of the votes cast thereon, permitting, until January 1, 2003, a simple majority of votes to approve amendments to amend or repeal any provision that was previously adopted with less than sixty percent of the votes cast thereon, prohibiting the general assembly from amending or repealing any

Continued. . .

C NOVEMBER 5, 1996

Continued. . .

law enacted by the initiative within four years of adoption unless approved by two-thirds of all the members elected to each house of the general assembly, and requiring that initiated and referred measures to amend the constitution be submitted to the electors at a general election and not at an election held in an odd-numbered year."

⬭ YES
⬭ NO

REFERENDUM B

"Measures referred by the general assembly or any political subdivision are listed by letter, and measures initiated by the people are listed numerically. A 'yes' vote on any measure is a vote in favor of changing constitutional or statutory law; and a 'no' vote on any measure is a vote against changing constitutional or statutory law."

"An amendment to section 20 of article X of the constitution of the state of Colorado, increasing the time period for mailing ballot information to registered voters before a ballot issue election."

⬭ YES
⬭ NO

REFERENDUM C

"Measures referred by the general assembly or any political subdivision are listed by letter, and measures initiated by the people are listed numerically. A 'yes' vote on any measure is a vote in favor of changing constitutional or statutory law; and a 'no' vote on any measure is a vote against changing constitutional or statutory law."

"An amendment to article XIV of the constitution of the state of Colorado, concerning the office of county sheriff, and, in connection therewith, authorizing the general assembly to establish qualifications for the office of county sheriff."

⬭ YES
⬭ NO

REFERENDUM D

"Measures referred by the general assembly or any political subdivision are listed by letter, and measures initiated by the people are listed numerically. A 'yes' vote on any measure is a vote in favor of changing constitutional or statutory law; and a 'no' vote on any measure is a vote against changing constitutional or statutory law."

"An amendment to section 20 of article X of the constitution of the state of Colorado, concerning the exclusion of funds for unemployment compensation from fiscal limitations, and, in connection therewith, modifying the definition of "Fiscal Year Spending" to exclude unemployment compensation funds, excluding actions relating to charges imposed to fund unemployment compensation from the voter-approval requirement for tax increases, and requiring a one-time reduction in district bases to exclude a portion of a district's fiscal year spending from unemployment compensation funds."

⬭ YES
⬭ NO

VOTE BOTH SIDES

OFFICIAL BALLOT
FOR GUNNISON
COUNTY,
COLORADO
NOVEMBER 5, 1996

County Clerk & Recorder

A B C

B-CB MUNICIPAL

D OFFICIAL BALLOT

GUNNISON COUNTY BALLOT REFERENDUM 1A

"Measures referred by the general assembly or any political subdivision are listed by letter, and measures initiated by the people are listed numerically. A 'yes' vote on any measure is a vote in favor of changing constitutional or statutory law; and a 'no' vote on any measure is a vote against changing constitutional or statutory law."

MAY GUNNISON COUNTY, WITHOUT ANY INCREASE IN COUNTY TAX RATES AND WITHOUT EXCEEDING THE PROPERTY TAX REVENUE LIMIT, KEEP AND SPEND FOR COUNTY SERVICES AND CAPITAL EXPENDITURES, IN 1996 AND EACH YEAR THEREAFTER, ANY EXCESS REVENUES FROM GRANTS, FEES, INTEREST, SALES TAX AND ALL OTHER REVENUE SOURCES WITHOUT BEING LIMITED BY THE RESTRICTIONS OF ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION (COMMONLY KNOWN AS "AMENDMENT 1 AND/OR THE "TABOR AMENDMENT")?

⬭ YES
⬭ NO

TOWN OF CRESTED BUTTE BALLOT REFERENDUM 2A

"Measures referred by the general assembly or any political subdivision are listed by letter, and measures initiated by the people are listed numerically. A 'yes' vote on any measure is a vote in favor of changing constitutional or statutory law; and a 'no' vote on any measure is a vote against changing constitutional or statutory law."

SHALL THE TOWN OF CRESTED BUTTE, COLORADO DEBT BE INCREASED BY NOT MORE THAN $500,000, WITH A REPAYMENT COST OF $872,254 (WHICH IS THE MAXIMUM PRINCIPAL AND INTEREST OVER THE LIFE OF SUCH DEBT), AND TO BEAR INTEREST AT A RATE NOT EXCEEDING 6 PERCENT PER ANNUM, AND SHALL TOWN TAXES BE INCREASED $96,037 ANNUALLY FOR SEVEN YEARS, IF NECESSARY, TO PAY SUCH DEBT; SUCH DEBT TO BE ISSUED FOR THE PURPOSE OF PAVING AND OTHERWISE IMPROVING STREETS AND ALLEYS WITHIN THE TOWN; SUCH DEBT TO BE PAYABLE FROM THE REVENUES OF THE TOWN'S PROPERTY TAX (STREET AND ALLEY FUND); AND SHALL THE MILL LEVY BE INCREASED IN ANY YEAR WITHOUT LIMITATION AS TO RATE BUT ONLY IN AN AMOUNT SUFFICIENT, AFTER APPLICATION OF THE NET REVENUES OF THE STREET AND ALLEY FUND, TO PAY THE PRINCIPAL OF AND PREMIUM, IF ANY, AND INTEREST ON SUCH DEBT OR ANY REFUNDING DEBT WHEN DUE; SUCH DEBT TO BE EVIDENCED BY THE ISSUANCE OF GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS TO BE SOLD IN ONE SERIES OR MORE, ON TERMS AND CONDITIONS AS THE TOWN COUNCIL MAY DETERMINE, INCLUDING PROVISIONS FOR REDEMPTION OF THE BONDS PRIOR TO MATURITY WITH OR WITHOUT PAYMENT OF THE PREMIUM; AND SHALL THE EARNINGS FROM THE INVESTMENT OF SUCH BOND PROCEEDS AND TAX REVENUES BE COLLECTED AND SPENT WITHOUT LIMITATION OR CONDITION AS A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE UNDER ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR ANY OTHER LAW?

⬭ YES
⬭ NO

E 1996 GENERAL ELECTION

MONTROSE COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT RE-1J REFERENDUM QUESTION 3A

"Measures referred by the general assembly or any political subdivision are listed by letter, and measures initiated by the people are listed numerically. A 'yes' vote on any measure is a vote in favor of changing constitutional or statutory law; and a 'no' vote on any measure is a vote against changing constitutional or statutory law."

WITHOUT INCREASING OR ADDING ANY TAXES OF ANY KIND, SHALL THE MONTROSE COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT RE-1J BE PERMITTED TO COLLECT, RETAIN, AND EXPEND THE FULL PROCEEDS FROM ANY REVENUE SOURCE, EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 1995, AND FOR EACH FISCAL YEAR THEREAFTER, NOT WITHSTANDING ANY STATE RESTRICTIONS ON FISCAL YEAR SPENDING, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, THE RESTRICTIONS OF ARTICLE X, SECTION 20, OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION?

⬭ YES
⬭ NO

F NOVEMBER 5, 1996

D E F

COUNTY REFERENDUM SPENDING LIMITATION QUESTION 1A

Ballot Text:

MAY GUNNISON COUNTY, WITHOUT ANY INCREASE IN COUNTY TAX RATES AND WITHOUT EXCEEDING THE PROPERTY TAX REVENUE LIMIT, KEEP AND SPEND FOR COUNTY SERVICES AND CAPITAL EXPENDITURES, IN 1996 AND EACH YEAR THEREAFTER, ANY EXCESS REVENUES FROM GRANTS, FEES, INTEREST, SALES TAX AND ALL OTHER REVENUE SOURCES WITHOUT BEING LIMITED BY THE RESTRICTIONS OF ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION (COMMONLY KNOWN AS "AMENDMENT 1 AND/OR THE "TABOR AMENDMENT")?

Summary of written comments for Referendum No. 1A:

Article X, Section 20 of the Colorado Constitution (Amendment 1) permits the eligible electors of the County to approve changes in the County's revenue and spending limits.

Gunnison County is asking its citizens for authorization to keep and spend for County services and capital expenditures in 1996 and each year thereafter any excess revenues from grants, fees, interest, sales tax and all other revenue sources collected by the County regardless of any limitation contained in Amendment 1 without exceeding, however, the property tax revenue limit.

THIS MEASURE IS NOT A TAX INCREASE. If the Countycitizens grant to the County the authorization to keep and spend all such revenues, there are no changes in the following important Amendment 1 restrictions:

Voter approval of all new taxes and tax rate increases;

All ad valorem property tax limits remain in effect;

All election requirements remain in effect.

Summary of written comments against Referendum No. 1A:
No written comments were received by the Constitutional deadline.

CERTIFICATE OF ELECTION RESULTS

The following people met on November 6, 1996 to canvass the results of the election held on November 5, 1996.

1. Erma Corneer (Republican)

2. James Schmidt (Democrat)

3. Joanne Reitinger (County Clerk)

I hereby certify the results of the County Question 1A as follows:

For: 3165

Against: 2213

Joanne M. Reitinger
Gunnison County Clerk

GUNNISON COUNTY, COLORADO

NOVEMBER 7, 2000

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS

1. To vote you must blacken the oval ( ) completely to the left of your choice.
2. Use ONLY THE PENCIL PROVIDED. Make the mark clear and distinct.
3. If more than the allowable number of ovals are blackened, votes for that question or issue will not be counted. EXAMPLE: Vote for "ONE" means only one oval may be blackened.
4. DO NOT REMOVE BALLOT STUB.

OFFICIAL BALLOT FOR

GUNNISON COUNTY, COLORADO

NOVEMBER 7, 2000

County Clerk & Recorder

PRESIDENTIAL ELECTORS FOR PRESIDENT AND VICE PRESIDENT
(Vote for ONE Pair)

- George W. Bush & Dick Cheney (Republican)
- Al Gore & Joe Lieberman (Democratic)
- Harry Browne & Art Olivier (Libertarian)
- John Hagelin & Nat Goldhaber (Natural Law)
- Ralph Nader & Winona LaDuke (Green)
- Howard Phillips & J. Curtis Frazier (American Constitution)
- Pat Buchanan & Ezola Foster (Freedom)
- Earl F. Dodge & W. Dean Watkins (Prohibition)
- James Harris & Margaret Trowe (Socialist Workers Campaign)
- David McReynolds & Mary Cal Hollis (Socialist)

REPRESENTATIVE TO THE 107th U.S. CONGRESS - DISTRICT 3
(Vote for ONE)

- Curtis Imrie (Democratic)
- Scott McInnis (Republican)
- Drew Sakson (Libertarian)
- Victor A. Good (Reform)

SECRETARY OF STATE (VACANCY - 2 YEAR TERM)
(Vote for ONE)

- Donetta Davidson (Republican)
- Anthony Martinez (Democratic)
- Clyde J. Harkins (American Constitution)
- Cheryl A. Beckett (Natural Law)
- Johanna C. Fallis (Libertarian)
- Patricia Baker (Reform)

MEMBER STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION - AT LARGE
(Vote for ONE)

- Ben L. Alexander (Republican)
- Jared Polis (Democratic)
- Thomas D. Groover (Natural Law)

REGENT OF THE UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO - AT LARGE
(Vote for ONE)

- Pete Steinhauer (Republican)
- Burke Beu (Democratic)
- Jennifer Holton (Natural Law)

REGENT OF THE UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO - 3rd CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT
(Vote for ONE)

- Hank Anton (Republican)
- Gail Schwartz (Democratic)

STATE SENATE - DISTRICT 4
(Vote for ONE)

- John C. Ford (Democratic)
- Ken Chlouber (Republican)
- Amy M. Kacsh (Libertarian)

STATE REPRESENTATIVE - DISTRICT 61
(Vote for ONE)

- Ken Swinehart (Republican)
- Carl Miller (Democratic)
- Tim P. Sauer (Libertarian)

DISTRICT ATTORNEY - 7th JUDICIAL DISTRICT
(Vote for ONE)

- Wyatt Angelo (Republican)

COUNTY COMMISSIONER - DISTRICT 1
(Vote for ONE)

- Perry Anderson (Republican)
- Diane Lothamer (Democratic)

COUNTY COMMISSIONER - DISTRICT 2
(Vote for ONE)

- Fred R. Field (Republican)
- Paul Wayne Foreman (Democratic)

JUSTICE OF THE COLORADO SUPREME COURT
(Vote Yes or No)

Shall Justice Michael L. Bender of the Supreme Court be retained in office?

- Yes
- No

Shall Justice Alex J. Martinez of the Supreme Court be retained in office?

- Yes
- No

Shall Justice Mary J. Mullarkey of the Supreme Court be retained in office?

- Yes
- No

Shall Justice Nancy E. Rice of the Supreme Court be retained in office?

- Yes
- No

©

COURT OF APPEALS JUDGE
(Vote Yes or No)

Shall Judge Sandra I. Rothenberg of the Court of Appeals be retained in office?

( ) Yes

( ) No

Shall Judge Edwin G. Ruland of the Court of Appeals be retained in office?

( ) Yes

( ) No

Shall Judge JoAnn L. Vogt of the Court of Appeals be retained in office?

( ) Yes

( ) No

COUNTY JUDGE - GUNNISON
(Vote Yes or No)

Shall Judge Ben F. Eden of the County Court be retained in office?

( ) Yes

( ) No

"Ballot issues referred by the general assembly or any political subdivision are listed by letter, and ballot issues initiated by the people are listed numerically. A "yes" vote on any ballot issue is a vote in favor of changing current law or existing circumstances, and a "no" vote on any ballot issue is a vote against changing current law or existing circumstances."

AMENDMENT 20

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado Constitution authorizing the medical use of marijuana for persons suffering from debilitating medical conditions, and, in connection therewith, establishing an affirmative defense to Colorado criminal laws for patients and their primary care-givers relating to the medical use of marijuana; establishing exceptions to Colorado criminal laws for patients and primary care-givers in lawful possession of a registry identification card for medical marijuana use and for physicians who advise patients or provide them with written documentation as to such medical marijuana use; defining "debilitating medical condition" and authorizing the state health agency to approve other medical conditions or treatments as debilitating medical conditions; requiring preservation of seized property interests that had been possessed, owned, or used in connection with a claimed medical use of marijuana and limiting forfeiture of such interests; establishing and maintaining a confidential state registry of patients receiving an identification card for the medical use of marijuana and defining eligibility for receipt of such a card and placement on the registry; restricting access to information in the registry; establishing procedures for issuance of an identification card; authorizing fees to cover administrative costs associated with the registry; specifying the form and amount of marijuana a patient may possess and restrictions on its use; setting forth additional requirements for the medical use of marijuana by patients less than eighteen years old; directing enactment of implementing legislation and criminal penalties for certain offenses; requiring the state health agency designated by the governor to make application forms available to residents of Colorado for inclusion on the registry; limiting a health insurer's liability on claims relating to the medical use of marijuana; and providing that no employer must accommodate medical use of marijuana in the workplace?

YES

NO

AMENDMENT 21

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution establishing a $25 tax cut to lower each 2001 state and local tax in each tax bill for each utility customer and occupation tax and franchise charge, vehicle sales, use, and ownership tax, income tax, property tax, income and property tax equal to yearly revenue from sales and use taxes on food and drink other than tobacco and alcohol, and income tax equal to yearly revenue from estate taxes, and, in connection therewith, increasing the tax cut $25 yearly; specifying that the tax cuts and state replacement of local revenue shall not lower state or local excess revenue; allowing the state to limit local acts increasing replacement costs; and providing that attorney fees and costs shall always be paid to successful plaintiffs only?

YES

NO

AMENDMENT 22

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado Revised Statutes concerning a requirement that background checks be conducted on prospective firearms transferees if any part of the transaction occurs at a gun show, and in connection therewith, directing that a gun show vendor require a background check on a prospective transferee and obtain approval of the transfer from the Colorado Bureau of Investigation; defining a "gun show vendor" as any person who exhibits, offers for sale, or transfers a firearm at a gun show; requiring gun show promoters to arrange for the services of federally licensed gun dealers to obtain background checks at gun shows; prohibiting the transfer of a firearm if a background check has not been obtained by a federally licensed gun dealer; requiring record keeping and retention by federally licensed gun dealers who obtain background checks; permitting federally licensed gun dealers to charge a fee of up to ten dollars for conducting each background check at gun shows; requiring gun show promoters to prominently post notice of the background check requirement; establishing criminal penalties for violations of these requirements; exempting transfers of certain antique firearms, relics, and curios from the background check requirement; and requiring the appropriation of funds necessary to implement the measure?

YES

NO

AMENDMENT 23

SHALL THERE BE AN AMENDMENT TO THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION CONCERNING INCREASED FUNDING FOR PRESCHOOL THROUGH TWELFTH-GRADE PUBLIC EDUCATION, AND, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, REQUIRING THE STATEWIDE BASE PER PUPIL FUNDING FOR PUBLIC EDUCATION AND FUNDING FOR SPECIFICALLY DEFINED CATEGORICAL PROGRAMS TO GROW ANNUALLY BY AT LEAST THE RATE OF INFLATION PLUS ONE PERCENTAGE POINT FOR FISCAL YEARS 2001-02 THROUGH 2010-11 AND ANNUALLY BY AT LEAST THE RATE OF INFLATION FOR FISCAL YEARS THEREAFTER; CREATING A STATE EDUCATION FUND AND EXEMPTING APPROPRIATIONS FROM THE FUND AND EXPENDITURES OF SAID APPROPRIATIONS FROM CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY LIMITATIONS; REQUIRING THE STATE TO DEPOSIT IN THE STATE EDUCATION FUND ALL REVENUES COLLECTED BY THE STATE FROM A TAX OF ONE-THIRD OF ONE PERCENT ON FEDERAL TAXABLE INCOME OF EVERY INDIVIDUAL, ESTATE, TRUST, AND CORPORATION AND EXEMPTING THOSE REVENUES FROM THE CONSTITUTIONAL LIMITATION ON FISCAL YEAR SPENDING; LIMITING THE USE OF MONEYS IN THE STATE EDUCATION FUND TO INCREASING THE STATEWIDE BASE PER PUPIL FUNDING FOR PUBLIC EDUCATION AND FUNDING FOR CATEGORICAL PROGRAMS AND TO FUNDING SPECIFIED EDUCATION PROGRAMS, INCLUDING PUBLIC SCHOOL BUILDING CAPITAL CONSTRUCTION; SPECIFYING THAT MONEYS APPROPRIATED FROM THE STATE EDUCATION FUND SHALL NOT BE USED TO SUPPLANT THE LEVEL OF GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS EXISTING ON THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THE MEASURE FOR TOTAL PROGRAM EDUCATION AND CATEGORICAL PROGRAM FUNDING; AND, FOR FISCAL YEARS 2001-02 THROUGH 2010-11, REQUIRING THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY TO INCREASE ANNUALLY THE GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATION FOR TOTAL PROGRAM FUNDING UNDER THE "PUBLIC SCHOOL FINANCE ACT OF 1994", OR ANY SUCCESSOR ACT, BY AT LEAST FIVE PERCENT OF THE PRIOR YEAR'S GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATION FOR TOTAL PROGRAM, EXCEPT IN FISCAL YEARS IN WHICH PERSONAL INCOME GROWS LESS THAN FOUR AND ONE-HALF PERCENT BETWEEN THE TWO PREVIOUS CALENDAR YEARS?

YES

NO

©

VOTE BOTH SIDES

GUNNISON COUNTY, COLORADO

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS

1. To vote you must blacken the oval ( ) completely to the left of your choice.

2. Use ONLY THE PENCIL PROVIDED. Make the mark clear and distinct.

3. If more than the allowable number of ovals are blackened, votes for that question or issue will not be counted. EXAMPLE: Vote for "ONE" means only one oval may be blackened.

4. DO NOT REMOVE BALLOT STUB.

OFFICIAL BALLOT FOR

GUNNISON COUNTY, COLORADO

NOVEMBER 7, 2000

County Clerk & Recorder

AMENDMENT 24

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado Constitution concerning the management of development, and, in connection therewith, specifying that local governments, unless otherwise excepted, shall approve development only within areas committed to development or within future growth areas in accordance with voter-approved growth area maps, requiring such local governments to delineate areas committed to development, requiring local governments proposing a future growth area to submit a growth area map to a vote at a regular election, specifying the content of growth impact disclosures to be distributed to voters in connection with such elections, and specifying the type of allowed action or development within growth areas, committed areas, or outside such areas?

YES

NO

AMENDMENT 25

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado Revised Statutes concerning the requirement that any woman who is considering an abortion give voluntary, informed consent prior to the abortion, and, in connection therewith, defining several pertinent terms so that "abortion" includes termination of a known pregnancy at any time after conception, specifying the information a physician must provide to insure that a woman's consent to an abortion is voluntary and informed, requiring a physician, except in emergency cases, to provide the specified information to the woman at least twenty-four hours prior to performing an abortion, requiring the department of public health and environment to provide specified informational materials for women who are considering abortions, establishing procedures for emergency situations, requiring physicians to annually report specified information, requiring the department of public health and environment to annually publish a compilation of the physicians' reports, and providing for the administration and enforcement of the amendment's provisions?

YES

NO

NOVEMBER 7, 2000

"Ballot issues referred by the general assembly or any political subdivision are listed by letter, and ballot issues initiated by the people are listed numerically. A "yes" vote on any ballot issue is a vote in favor of changing current law or existing circumstances, and a "no" vote on any ballot issue is a vote against changing current law or existing circumstances."

REFERENDUM A

AN AMENDMENT TO ARTICLE X OF THE CONSTITUTION OF THE STATE OF COLORADO, ESTABLISHING A HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION FOR A SPECIFIED PERCENTAGE OF A LIMITED AMOUNT OF THE ACTUAL VALUE OF OWNER-OCCUPIED RESIDENTIAL REAL PROPERTY THAT IS THE PRIMARY RESIDENCE OF AN OWNER-OCCUPIER WHO IS SIXTY-FIVE YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER AND HAS RESIDED IN SUCH PROPERTY FOR TEN YEARS OR LONGER, AND, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, ALLOWING THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY BY LAW TO ADJUST THE MAXIMUM AMOUNT OF ACTUAL VALUE OF SUCH RESIDENTIAL REAL PROPERTY OF WHICH SUCH SPECIFIED PERCENTAGE SHALL BE EXEMPT, REQUIRING THE AGGREGATE STATEWIDE VALUATION FOR ASSESSMENT THAT IS ATTRIBUTABLE TO RESIDENTIAL REAL PROPERTY TO BE CALCULATED AS IF THE FULL ACTUAL VALUE OF ALL OWNER-OCCUPIED PRIMARY RESIDENCES THAT ARE PARTIALLY EXEMPT FROM TAXATION WAS SUBJECT TO TAXATION FOR THE PURPOSE OF DETERMINING THE BIENNIAL ADJUSTMENT TO BE MADE TO THE RATIO OF VALUATION FOR ASSESSMENT FOR RESIDENTIAL REAL PROPERTY, REQUIRING THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY TO COMPENSATE LOCAL GOVERNMENTAL ENTITIES FOR THE NET AMOUNT OF PROPERTY TAX REVENUES LOST AS A RESULT OF THE HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION, SPECIFYING THAT SAID COMPENSATION SHALL NOT BE INCLUDED IN LOCAL GOVERNMENT FISCAL YEAR SPENDING, AUTHORIZING A PERMANENT INCREASE IN STATE FISCAL YEAR SPENDING TO DEFRAY THE COST TO THE STATE OF SAID COMPENSATION, AND SPECIFYING THAT SAID COMPENSATION SHALL NOT BE SUBJECT TO ANY STATUTORY LIMITATION ON GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS.

YES

NO

REFERENDUM B

An amendment to section 48 of article V of the constitution of the state of Colorado, concerning the timetable for adoption of a redistricting plan for the general assembly.

YES

NO

REFERENDUM C

An amendment to section 8 of article XIV of the constitution of the state of Colorado, which requires the selection of county surveyors by election, to also allow the appointment of county surveyors.

YES

NO

REFERENDUM D

An amendment to the constitution of the state of Colorado, concerning the repeal of outdated provisions of the state constitution resulting from obsolescence and applicability to particular events or circumstances that have already occurred.

YES

NO

REFERENDUM E

SHALL THE COLORADO LOTTERY COMMISSION BE AUTHORIZED TO ENTER INTO MULTISTATE AGREEMENTS ALLOWING COLORADO RESIDENTS TO PLAY MULTISTATE LOTTERY GAMES, AND, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, TRANSFERRING A PORTION OF THE NET PROCEEDS FROM ALL LOTTERY PROGRAMS, INCLUDING MULTI-STATE LOTTERY GAMES, FROM THE GENERAL FUND TO THE STATE PUBLIC SCHOOL FUND AS A CONTINGENCY RESERVE FOR SUPPLEMENTAL ASSISTANCE TO SCHOOL DISTRICTS FOR CAPITAL EXPENDITURES TO ADDRESS IMMEDIATE HEALTH AND SAFETY CONCERNS WITHIN EXISTING SCHOOL FACILITIES EXEMPT FROM ANY RESTRICTION ON SPENDING, REVENUES, OR APPROPRIATIONS, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, THE RESTRICTIONS OF SECTION 20 OF ARTICLE X OF THE STATE CONSTITUTION?

YES

NO

REFERENDUM F

SHALL THE STATE OF COLORADO BE PERMITTED TO ANNUALLY RETAIN UP TO FIFTY MILLION DOLLARS OF THE STATE REVENUES IN EXCESS OF THE CONSTITUTIONAL LIMITATION ON STATE FISCAL YEAR SPENDING FOR THE 1999-2000 FISCAL YEAR AND FOR FOUR SUCCEEDING FISCAL YEARS FOR THE PURPOSE OF FUNDING PERFORMANCE GRANTS FOR SCHOOL DISTRICTS TO IMPROVE ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE, NOTWITHSTANDING ANY RESTRICTION ON SPENDING, REVENUES, OR APPROPRIATIONS, INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION THE RESTRICTIONS OF SECTION 20 OF ARTICLE X OF THE STATE CONSTITUTION AND THE STATUTORY LIMITATION ON STATE GENERAL FUND APPROPRIATIONS?

YES

NO

©

D

E

F

COUNTY QUESTION 1A

MAY GUNNISON COUNTY BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT, KEEP AND EXPEND ALL REVENUES IT RECEIVES FROM ITS PROPERTY TAX LEVY IN 2001 AND EACH YEAR THEREAFTER AS A REVENUE CHANGE PURSUANT TO ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION PROVIDED THAT NOTHING IN THIS QUESTION AUTHORIZES THE COUNTY TO INCREASE ITS PERMANENT RATE OF LEVY WITHOUT PRIOR VOTER APPROVAL?

YES

NO

COUNTY QUESTION 2A

Shall the entire Gunnison County and all local governments within Gunnison County be exempt from all requirements of the citizen management of growth initiative, State Ballot Issue No. 24, if the citizen management of growth initiative, State Ballot Issue No. 24 becomes law, for a period of one year from the effective date of that initiative?

YES

NO

© VOTE BOTH SIDES

November 7, 2000 ①

Total Number Voting		7292	
Precincts Reporting	15 of	16	93.75%

PRESIDENT & VICE PRESIDENT

***	BUSH/CHENEY -R	3128	42.89%
	GORE/LIEBERMAN -D	3059	41.95%
	NADER/LaDUKE -G	928	12.72%
	BROWNE/OLIVIER -L	66	.90%
	BUCHANAN/FOSTER -F	33	.45%
	HAGELIN/GOLDHABER -NL	16	.21%
	PHILLIPS/FRAZIER -AC	4	.5%
	McREYNOLDS/HOLLIS -S	2	.2%
	HARRIS/TROWE -SW	1	.1%
	DODGE/WATKINS -P	0	.0%
	Total Votes Cast	7292	
	Number Under Votes	48	.65%
	Number Over Votes	7	.9%

U.S REPRESENTATIVE 107 DIST. 3

***	SCOTT McINNIS -R	3814	52.30%
	CURTIS IMRIE -D	2499	34.27%
	DREW SAKSON -L	337	4.62%
	VICTOR A. GOOD -RFM	176	2.41%
	Total Votes Cast	7292	
	Number Under Votes	463	6.34%
	Number Over Votes	3	.4%

SECRETARY OF STATE

***	DONETTA DAVIDSON -R	3212	44.04%
	ANTHONY MARTINEZ -D	2537	34.79%
	JOHANNA C. FALLIS -L	323	4.42%
	CHERYL A. BECKETT -NL	202	2.77%
	PATRICIA BAKER -RFM	139	1.90%
	CLYDE J. HARKINS -AC	48	.65%
	Total Votes Cast	7292	
	Number Under Votes	829	11.36%
	Number Over Votes	2	.2%

STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION-AT LARGE

***	JARED POLIS -D	3306	45.33%
	BEN L. ALEXANDER -R	2717	37.26%
	THOMAS D. GROOVER -NL	385	5.27%
	Total Votes Cast	7292	
	Number Under Votes	884	12.12%
	Number Over Votes	0	.0%

UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO-AT LARGE

***	PETE STEINHAUER -R	2800	38.39%
	BURKE BEU -D	2439	33.44%
	Total Votes Cast	7292	
	Number Under Votes	2053	28.15%
	Number Over Votes	0	.0%

UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO DIST. 3

***	GAIL SCHWARTZ -D	3546	48.62%
	HANK ANTON -R	2533	34.73%
	Total Votes Cast	7292	
	Number Under Votes	1213	16.63%
	Number Over Votes	0	. 0%

STATE SENATE DIST. 4

***	KEN CHLOUBER -R	3466	47.53%
	JOHN C. FORD -D	2801	38.41%
	AMY M. KACSH -L	459	6.29%
	Total Votes Cast	7292	
	Number Under Votes	564	7.73%
	Number Over Votes	2	. 2%

***	GAIL SCHWARTZ -D	3546	48.62%
	HANK ANTON -R	2533	34.73%
	Total Votes Cast	7292	
	Number Under Votes	1213	16.63%
	Number Over Votes	0	. 0%

STATE SENATE DIST. 4

***	KEN CHLOUBER -R	3466	47.53%
	JOHN C. FORD -D	2801	38.41%
	AMY M. KACSH -L	459	6.29%
	Total Votes Cast	7292	
	Number Under Votes	564	7.73%
	Number Over Votes	2	. 2%

STATE REPRESENTATIVE DIST. 61

***	CARL MILLER -D	3751	51.43%
	KEN SWINEHART -R	2434	33.37%
	TIM P. SAUER -L	416	5.70%
	Total Votes Cast	7292	
	Number Under Votes	691	9.47%
	Number Over Votes	0	. 0%

DISTRICT ATTORNEY DIST. 7

***	WYATT ANGELO -R	4657	63.86%
	Total Votes Cast	7292	
	Number Under Votes	2635	36.13%
	Number Over Votes	0	. 0%

COUNTY COMMISSIONER DIST. 1

*** PERRY ANDERSON -R	3795	52.04%
DIANE LOTHAMER -D	3143	43.10%
Total Votes Cast	7292	
Number Under Votes	350	4.79%
Number Over Votes	4	. 5%

COUNTY COMMISSIONER DIST. 2

*** FRED R. FIELD -R	4630	63.49%
PAUL WAYNE FOREMAN -D	2295	31.47%
Total Votes Cast	7292	
Number Under Votes	367	5.03%
Number Over Votes	0	. 0%

RETAIN SUPREME COURT JUSTICE BENDER

*** YES	4065	55.74%
NO	1267	17.37%
Total Votes Cast	7292	
Number Under Votes	1959	26.86%
Number Over Votes	1	. 1%

RETAIN SUPREME COURT JUSTICE MARTINEZ

*** YES	4020	55.12%
NO	1284	17.60%
Total Votes Cast	7292	
Number Under Votes	1987	27.24%
Number Over Votes	1	. 1%

RETAIN SUPREME COURT JUSTICE MULLARKEY

*** YES	4094	56.14%
NO	1219	16.71%
Total Votes Cast	7292	
Number Under Votes	1978	27.12%
Number Over Votes	1	. 1%

RETAIN SUPREME COURT JUSTICE RICE

*** YES	4139	56.76%
NO	1183	16.22%
Total Votes Cast	7292	
Number Under Votes	1970	27.01%
Number Over Votes	0	. 0%

RETAIN APPEALS COURT JUDGE ROTHENBERG

*** YES	4108	56.33%
NO	1127	15.45%
Total Votes Cast	7292	
Number Under Votes	2057	28.20%
Number Over Votes	0	. 0%

RETAIN APPEALS COURT JUDGE RULAND

***	YES	3931	53.90%
	NO	1237	16.96%
	Total Votes Cast	7292	
	Number Under Votes	2124	29.12%
	Number Over Votes	0	. 0%

RETAIN APPEALS COURT JUDGE VOGT

***	YES	4017	55.08%
	NO	1182	16.20%
	Total Votes Cast	7292	
	Number Under Votes	2092	28.68%
	Number Over Votes	1	. 1%

RETAIN COUNTY COURT JUDGE EDEN

***	YES	4478	61.40%
	NO	1321	18.11%
	Total Votes Cast	7292	
	Number Under Votes	1493	20.47%
	Number Over Votes	0	. 0%

AMENDMENT 20

***	YES	4694	64.37%
	NO	2422	33.21%
	Total Votes Cast	7292	
	Number Under Votes	175	2.39%
	Number Over Votes	1	. 1%

AMENDMENT 21

***	NO	5044	69.17%
	YES	1893	25.95%
	Total Votes Cast	7292	
	Number Under Votes	350	4.79%
	Number Over Votes	5	. 6%

AMENDMENT 22

***	YES	4511	61.86%
	NO	2600	35.65%
	Total Votes Cast	7292	
	Number Under Votes	177	2.42%
	Number Over Votes	4	. 5%

AMENDMENT 23

***	YES	4274	58.61%
	NO	2634	36.12%
	Total Votes Cast	7292	
	Number Under Votes	383	5.25%
	Number Over Votes	1	. 1%

AMENDMENT 24

*** NO	4567	62.76%
YES	2477	34.04%
Total Votes Cast	7276	
Number Under Votes	227	3.11%
Number Over Votes	5	. 6%

AMENDMENT 25

*** NO	4979	68.43%
YES	1998	27.46%
Total Votes Cast	7276	
Number Under Votes	296	4.06%
Number Over Votes	3	. 4%

REFERENDUM A

*** YES	3548	48.76%
NO	2672	36.72%
Total Votes Cast	7276	
Number Under Votes	1053	14.47%
Number Over Votes	3	. 4%

REFERENDUM B

*** YES	3177	43.66%
NO	2442	33.56%
Total Votes Cast	7276	
Number Under Votes	1656	22.75%
Number Over Votes	1	. 1%

REFERENDUM C

*** NO	3407	46.82%
YES	2588	35.56%
Total Votes Cast	7276	
Number Under Votes	1280	17.59%
Number Over Votes	1	. 1%

REFERENDUM D

*** YES	4290	58.96%
NO	1777	24.42%
Total Votes Cast	7276	
Number Under Votes	1208	16.60%
Number Over Votes	1	. 1%

REFERENDUM E

*** YES	3701	50.86%
NO	2949	40.53%
Total Votes Cast	7276	
Number Under Votes	625	8.58%
Number Over Votes	1	. 1%

REFERENDUM F

***	YES	3363	46.22%
	NO	3144	43.21%
	Total Votes Cast	7276	
	Number Under Votes	767	10.54%
	Number Over Votes	2	. 2%

QUESTION 1A-COUNTY

***	YES	3732	51.29%
	NO	2531	34.78%
	Total Votes Cast	7276	
	Number Under Votes	1010	13.88%
	Number Over Votes	3	. 4%

QUESTION 2A-COUNTY

***	YES	3889	53.44%
	NO	2531	34.78%
	Total Votes Cast	7276	
	Number Under Votes	855	11.75%
	Number Over Votes	1	. 1%

TOTAL BALLOTS CAST	14568
TOTAL TYPE 1 BALLOTS	7292
TOTAL TYPE 2 BALLOTS	7276

Department/ Descri	Vehicles type	Base vehicle cost (Estimated)	CNG vehicle cost (Estimated)	CNG premium	Acquisition year
Public Works	3/4 Ton 4x4	26,946.00	36,946.00	10,000.00	2016
Public Works	3/4 Ton 4x4	26,946.00	36,946.00	10,000.00	2016
Public Works	3/4 Ton 4x4	26,946.00	36,946.00	10,000.00	2016
Public Works	3/4 Ton 4x4	26,946.00	36,946.00	10,000.00	2016
Assessor	SUV 4x4 or 1/2 4D	30,565.00	40,565.00	10,000.00	2016
Sheriff	SUV 4x4 or 1/2 4D	30,565.00	40,565.00	10,000.00	2016
Sheriff	SUV 4x4 or 1/2 4D	30,565.00	40,565.00	10,000.00	2016
Public Works	3/4 Ton 4x4	26,946.00	36,946.00	10,000.00	2017
Motorpool	passenger car	16,949.00	31,949.00	15,000.00	2017
Sheriff	SUV 4x4 or 1/2 4D	30,565.00	40,565.00	10,000.00	2017
Sheriff	SUV 4x4 or 1/2 4D	30,565.00	40,565.00	10,000.00	2017
Sheriff	SUV 4x4 or 1/2 4D	30,565.00	40,565.00	10,000.00	2017
RTA	MCI Bus	150,000.00	320,000.00	34,000.00	2016
RTA	MCI Bus	150,000.00	320,000.00	170,000.00	2016
	TOTALS	635,069.00	1,100,069.00	329,000.00	